

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 13 2006

In re Patent Application of:)	Atty Docket No.:
PHILLIPS ET AL)	78384 18-32 US DIV1
)	
Serial No. 10/706,142)	Art Unit: 1732
)	
Filing Date: NOVEMBER 12, 2003)	Examiner:
)	MATHIEU D. VARGOT
Confirmation No. 6069)	
)	
For: METHODS FOR FORMING SECURITY)	
ARTICLES HAVING DIFFRACTIVE)	
SURFACES AND COLOR SHIFTING)	
BACKGROUNDS)	

VIA FACSIMILE NO. 571-273-8300

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
U.S.A.

RESPONSE TO ALLEGATION OF NON-RESPONSIVE REPLY

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the communication from the Examiner dated January 18, 2006, in connection with the above-identified application. That communication alleges that Applicants' amendment of November 2, 2005, was not fully responsive to the prior Office Action (of August 2, 2005) because of a number of specifically enumerated omissions. Applicants respectfully submit that the allegations in the communication of January 18, 2006, are inaccurate, that their amendment filed November 2, 2005, complies with 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 and is fully responsive to the Office Action of August 2, 2005. As such, Applicants respectfully urge reconsideration of the allegations set forth in the Patent Office communication of January 18, 2006, as well as favorable